

Minutes of a meeting of the Area Planning Committee Kettering

At 7.00 pm on Wednesday 19th April, 2023 in the

Council Chamber, Municipal Offices, Bowling Green Road, Kettering, NN15 7QX

Present:-

Members

Councillor Mark Rowley (Chair)
Councillor Robin Carter
Councillor Ian Jelley
Councillor Elliot Prentice

Councillor Cedwien Brown
Councillor Jim Hakewill
Councillor Anne Lee

Officers

Louise Holland Development Services
Nigel Bell Legal Representative
Callum Galluzzo Democratic Services

39 Apologies for non-attendance

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Paul Marks, Joseph Smyth, and Keli Watts.

It was noted that Councillors Larry Henson and Anne Lee were present as substitutes.

40 Members' Declarations of Interests

The chair asked members to declare any interests on items present on the agenda.

No declarations were made.

41 Minutes of the meeting held on 8th March 2023

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Strategic Planning Committee held on 8th March 2023 be approved as a correct record.

42 Applications for planning permission, listed building consent and appeal information*

The Committee considered the following application for planning permission, which were set out in the Development Control Officers Report and supplemented verbally and in writing at the meeting. Two speakers attended the meeting and spoke on applications in accordance with the Right to Speak Policy.

The reports included details of applications and, where applicable, results of statutory consultations and representations which had been received from interested bodies and individuals, and the Committee reached the following decisions:-

<u>Proposed Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
<p>*4.1 s.73A Retrospective Application: 5 no. CCTV columns and cameras at Rockingham Road Pleasure Park, Park Avenue, Kettering for Mr J Kinloch North Northamptonshire Council.</p> <p>Application No: NK/2022/0726</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u></p> <p>Peter Anderson attended the meeting and addressed the committee as a third party objector to the proposed development raising concerns regarding data protection and invasion of residential privacy. Mr Anderson also raised concerns with regards to the location of the CCTV units as well as the height of the installed devices. Concerns were also raised due to the absence of a Data Protection Impact Assessment associated with the planning proposal.</p> <p>Mike Greenway attended the meeting and addressed the committee as the agent on behalf of the applicant. Members heard that the former Kettering Borough Council undertook a public consultation exercise and that the design schemes were based on these views as well as available technology and crime data. Members heard that the technology used features a blurring software which automatically blurs residential properties in view of the CCTV thus to protect residential privacy. Robust security measures were in place to ensure the system and network were protected.</p>	<p>Members received a report about a proposal for which retrospective planning permission was being for the erection and installation of 5 no. CCTV columns and cameras.</p> <p>Members raised concerns regarding the location of one installed column in relation to the location plan and also raised questions regarding the impact on the street scene. Questions were also raised in relation to the public consultation exercise.</p> <p>Members heard that the CCTV installation was part of a Home Office project in the interest of public safety. Members raised support spoke in favour of the use of CCTV to apprehend and deter crime and anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>Following debate it was proposed by Councillor Prentice and seconded by Councillor Jelley that the application be approved in line with the officer's recommendation.</p> <p>It was agreed that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:</p>

1. The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved plans and details listed below.

(Members voted on the officers' recommendation to approve the application)

(Voting: For 5, Against 1, Abstention 1)

The application was therefore

APPROVED

<u>Proposed Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
<p>*4.2 s.73A Retrospective Application: 1 no. CCTV columns and cameras at Rockingham Road (corner of Dryden Street), Kettering for Mr J Kinloch North Northamptonshire Council.</p> <p>Application No: NK/2022/0787</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u></p> <p>Peter Anderson attended the meeting and addressed the committee as a third party objector to the proposed development raising concerns regarding data protection and invasion of residential privacy. Mr Anderson also raised concerns with regards to the location of the CCTV units as well as the height of the installed devices. Concerns were also raised due to the absence of a Data Protection Impact Assessment associated with the planning proposal.</p> <p>Mike Greenway attended the meeting and addressed the committee as the agent on behalf of the applicant. Members heard that the former Kettering Borough Council undertook a public consultation exercise and that the design schemes were based on these views as well as available technology and crime data. Members heard that the technology used features a blurring software which automatically blurs residential properties in view of the CCTV thus to protect residential privacy. Robust security measures were in place to ensure the system and network were protected.</p>	<p>Members received a report about a proposal for which retrospective planning permission was being for the erection and installation of 1 no. CCTV columns and cameras.</p> <p>Members raised concerns regarding the location of one installed column in relation to the location plan and also raised questions regarding the impact on the street scene. Questions were also raised in relation to the public consultation exercise.</p> <p>Members heard that the CCTV installation was part of a Home Office project in the interest of public safety. Members raised support spoke in favour of the use of CCTV to apprehend and deter crime and anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>Following debate it was proposed by Councillor Prentice and seconded by Councillor Jelley that the application be approved in line with the officer's recommendation.</p> <p>It was agreed that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:</p>

1. The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved plans and details listed below.

(Members voted on the officers' recommendation to approve the application)

(Voting: For 5, Against 1, Abstention 1)

The application was therefore

APPROVED

<u>Proposed Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
<p>*4.3 s.73A Retrospective Application: 1 no. CCTV columns and cameras at Wood Street (alley opposite Wilson Terrace), Kettering for Mr J Kinloch North Northamptonshire Council.</p> <p>Application No: NK/2022/0788</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u></p> <p>Peter Anderson attended the meeting and addressed the committee as a third party objector to the proposed development raising concerns regarding data protection and invasion of residential privacy. Mr Anderson also raised concerns with regards to the location of the CCTV units as well as the height of the installed devices. Concerns were also raised due to the absence of a Data Protection Impact Assessment associated with the planning proposal.</p> <p>Mike Greenway attended the meeting and addressed the committee as the agent on behalf of the applicant. Members heard that the former Kettering Borough Council undertook a public consultation exercise and that the design schemes were based on these views as well as available technology and crime data. Members heard that the technology used features a blurring software which automatically blurs residential properties in view of the CCTV thus to protect residential privacy. Robust security measures were in place to ensure the system and network were protected.</p>	<p>Members received a report about a proposal for which retrospective planning permission was being for the erection and installation of 1 no. CCTV columns and cameras.</p> <p>Members raised concerns regarding the location of one installed column in relation to the location plan and also raised questions regarding the impact on the street scene. Questions were also raised in relation to the public consultation exercise.</p> <p>Members heard that the CCTV installation was part of a Home Office project in the interest of public safety. Members raised support spoke in favour of the use of CCTV to apprehend and deter crime and anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>Following debate it was proposed by Councillor Jelley and seconded by Councillor Brown that the application be approved in line with the officer's recommendation.</p> <p>It was agreed that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:</p>

1. The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved plans and details listed below.

(Members voted on the officers' recommendation to approve the application)

(Voting: For 5, Against 1, Abstention 1)

The application was therefore
APPROVED

<u>Proposed Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
<p>*4.4 s.73A Retrospective Application: 2 no. CCTV columns with cameras and 1 no. transmission pole at Mill Road Park, Mill Road, Kettering for Mr J Kinloch North Northamptonshire Council.</p> <p>Application No: NK/2022/0789</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u></p> <p>Peter Anderson attended the meeting and addressed the committee as a third party objector to the proposed development raising concerns regarding data protection and invasion of residential privacy. Mr Anderson also raised concerns with regards to the location of the CCTV units as well as the height of the installed devices. Concerns were also raised due to the absence of a Data Protection Impact Assessment associated with the planning proposal.</p> <p>Mike Greenway attended the meeting and addressed the committee as the agent on behalf of the applicant. Members heard that the former Kettering Borough Council undertook a public consultation exercise and that the design schemes were based on these views as well as available technology and crime data. Members heard that the technology used features a blurring software which automatically blurs residential properties in view of the CCTV thus to protect residential privacy. Robust security measures were in place to ensure the system and network were protected.</p>	<p>Members received a report about a proposal for which retrospective planning permission was being for the erection and installation of 2 no. CCTV columns with cameras and 1 no. transmission pole</p> <p>Members raised concerns regarding the location of one installed column in relation to the location plan and also raised questions regarding the impact on the street scene. Questions were also raised in relation to the public consultation exercise.</p> <p>Members heard that the CCTV installation was part of a Home Office project in the interest of public safety. Members raised support spoke in favour of the use of CCTV to apprehend and deter crime and anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>Following debate it was proposed by Councillor Brown and seconded by Councillor Jelley that the application be approved in line with the officer's recommendation.</p> <p>It was agreed that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:</p>

1. The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved plans and details listed below.

(Members voted on the officers' recommendation to approve the application)

(Voting: For 5, Against 1, Abstention 1)

The application was therefore

APPROVED

<u>Proposed Development</u>	<u>Decision</u>
<p>*4.5 s.73A Retrospective Application: 2 no. CCTV columns and cameras at North Park, North Park Drive, Kettering for Mr J Kinloch North Northamptonshire Council.</p> <p>Application No: NK/2022/0790</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u></p> <p>Peter Anderson attended the meeting and addressed the committee as a third party objector to the proposed development raising concerns regarding data protection and invasion of residential privacy. Mr Anderson also raised concerns with regards to the location of the CCTV units as well as the height of the installed devices. Concerns were also raised due to the absence of a Data Protection Impact Assessment associated with the planning proposal.</p> <p>Mike Greenway attended the meeting and addressed the committee as the agent on behalf of the applicant. Members heard that the former Kettering Borough Council undertook a public consultation exercise and that the design schemes were based on these views as well as available technology and crime data. Members heard that the technology used features a blurring software which automatically blurs residential properties in view of the CCTV thus to protect residential privacy. Robust security measures were in place to ensure the system and network were protected.</p>	<p>Members received a report about a proposal for which retrospective planning permission was being for the erection and installation of 2 no. CCTV columns and cameras.</p> <p>Members raised concerns regarding the location of one installed column in relation to the location plan and also raised questions regarding the impact on the street scene. Questions were also raised in relation to the public consultation exercise.</p> <p>Members heard that the CCTV installation was part of a Home Office project in the interest of public safety. Members raised support spoke in favour of the use of CCTV to apprehend and deter crime and anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>Following debate it was proposed by Councillor Prentice and seconded by Councillor Jelley that the application be approved in line with the officer's recommendation.</p> <p>It was agreed that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:</p>

1. The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved plans and details listed below.

(Members voted on the officers' recommendation to approve the application)

(Voting: For 5, Against 1, Abstention 1)

The application was therefore
APPROVED

48 Delegated Officers Report

None

49 Exempt Items

None

50 Close of Meeting

The meeting closed at 8.03 pm

Chair

Date